

**PROCEEDING FOR OPENING OF TENDER PROVIDING SERVICES OF
MANPOWER IN DIFFERENT CATEGORIES THROUGH SERVICE PROVIDER
CONDUCTED ON DTD.08.08.2019 AS PER TENDER CALL NOTICE NO.8177
DT.11.07.19**

As per the notice cited above the Tender was opened on 08.08.2019 by opening the Technical quotations first. All the 7 quotations have been qualified for opening of financial bid. All the seven bidders participated during the tender process.

The tender was opened in presence of the participants in conformity with the technical requirements for the said tender engagement of services in BeMC. After opening the tender in both Technical and Financial bids of the participants a comparative statement (financial bid) prepared taking the detail quotations submitted by them.

The finding of the analysis of the comparative statement of all the seven bidders in 13 categories of Manpower are furnished below on receipt of the compliance to the explanations called for on the remarks and deficiencies noticed while scrutiny of such tender.

1. **M/s Udayabhanu Marketing & Services Pvt. Ltd. , Bhubaneswar**
It is observed from the Comparative statement of his financial bid that the service charge of @2% quoted by the Bidder but in item No.11 i.e. Sweeper (Per day) the calculation though made per day but the **EPF contribution is omitted** on which explanation was called for and the compliance was not satisfactory on the ground of prevailing provisions of E.P.F Act. It is quoted towards GST @ 18% on home take remuneration by the tender.
2. **M/s Ganjam Manpower & Security Services (P) Ltd., Berhampur**
In this case it is noticed that the bidder has quoted @2% of Service charge but **charged the ESI@4% in cases of items No.1 to 11** while the provision is @ 3.25% on which the bidder was noticed to explain which was not satisfactory on the ground of prevailing provisions of E.S.I Act. Moreover on the explanation of GST @ 18% charged by the bidder on the gross amount including all statutory liabilities the reply of the bidder is not satisfactory as per the provisions of GST rules and exemptions thereof.
3. **M/S R.R.Enterprises, Bhubaneswar**
In case of this bidder the break-up of calculation and the total amount of quotations are given correctly and the provision for EPF , ESI and GST are calculated on the home take amounts as per the rates provided in the provisions of P.F. @13%, ESI @3.25% respectively and the GST is given @18% on home take remuneration. Manager (CLC) and Sweeper (CLC) also the bidder has quoted the bid amount with proper break-up considering the EPF & ESI of both share contribution to arrive at the prescribed rate of Rs.15000/- and Rs.5000/- respectively and the service charges quoted is @4.99% on the home take amounts. The bidder was issued with notice to explain on quoting @ 18% GST on home take remuneration and service charge @ 4.99% to which the bidder explained that as per the prevailing provision the GST is chargeable on the home take wage/remuneration excluding statutory liabilities which is satisfactory. As regards service charge

@ 4.99% quoted by the bidder it is explained that the rate was so quoted due to TDS of SGST & CGST @ 2% and IT @ 2% at source of payment which needs to be examined. The said bidder has an experience of more than 07 years since 2011-12 in different Govt. organizations and public sector undertakings. He also offers for any negotiation as desired.

4. **M/s Sree Infotech, Berhampur**

In this case the bidder has quoted the rates giving @2% service charge and GST @18% on the total amount of all the categories but in case of **item No.11,12 & 13 total per person Sweeper (Per day), Manager (CLC) & Sweeper (CLC) the bidder has not quoted the home take amount and not given quotation on any corresponding break-up of EPF, ESI & GST also to arrive at the prescribed amounts of Rs.15000/- & Rs.5000/- respectively. The agency has quoted for extra amount.** On our explanation on the above remarks the bidder complies that the home take amount and break up of statutory liabilities was not quoted as the rate quoted for Sweeper is daily rated and for Manager (CLC) & Sweeper (CLC) the rate quoted is inclusive of statutory liabilities which is not satisfactory on the ground that for calculation of GST the detail is required. As regards the extra amount quoted by the bidder in case of Manager (CLC) & Sweeper (CLC) the compliance is not satisfactory without quoting the details of home take remuneration and statutory payments along with GST. Moreover on the remarks of quoting GST @ 18% on gross amount it is not satisfactory as per exemption rules of GST regarding statutory payments.

5. **M/s Nirakar Security & Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd., Cuttack**

This bidder has quoted the rates categorically by showing @2% service charge , home take amount and GST @18% on the total amount. In case of **item No.12 & 13 i.e. Manager (CLC) & Sweeper (CLC) the bidder has quoted the home take amount Rs.15000/- & Rs.5000/- respectively and arrived at the total amount by taking service charge and GST which exceeds the prescribed limit and more over the bidder has not quoted the P.F. & ESI in these two categories.** On our explanation required from the bidder the compliance of non-quoting of statutory dues due to its inclusive in the remuneration is not satisfactory as it is desired to calculate the GST on home take remuneration. Also the reply of quoting of GST @ 18% on the gross amount is not satisfactory.

6. **Er. Sudarsana Panda, Berhampur**

In this case the bidder has quoted the rates in all categories showing service charge @2% and GST @18% on the total amount. Besides **this there is a wrong calculation of ESI @4.75% in all the categories** while the provision is only @3.25%. On this scrutiny when the bidder was asked to explain instead of a compliance the bidder has submitted a fresh revised quotation which is not acceptable.


7. **M/s Kalinga Manpower Solutions & Allied Services Pvt. Ltd., Bhubaneswar**


This bidder has quoted in all categories showing @2% of service charge and @18% of GST on Home take amount and in case of **item No.11. Sweeper (per day) the calculation of total amount is arrived without showing EPF contribution.** On our observation when the bidder was asked to explain on

such non-quoting of statutory liabilities it is complied that in case of daily rated workers only after a month regularly it can be deducted where such salary consists basic, DA, incentive etc. which is not satisfactory as per the provisions of the E.P.F Act.

In view of the above observation of each of the 7 Nos. of bidders as per the comparative statements and on receipt of explanations from the bidders on the observations of the scrutiny, it is observed that 06 nos. of bidders are not competent on the grounds mentioned above and only one bidder is competent. Since it becomes a single bidder competency we may prefer to invite a fresh tender for such outsourcing deployment as per letter no. 24628/HUD dated 05.09.2018 of Director Municipal Administration.


E.O.


C.K. Singh


29.8.2019
Ex. Engineer
ODRP, BeMC


Dy. Commissioner
BeMC


Commissioner
BeMC